UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION

PARKERVISION, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 6:14-cv-00687-PGB-KRS

QUALCOMM INCORPORATED,
QUALCOMM ATHEROS, INC., HTC
CORPORATION, HTC AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG
ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG
ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., and SAMSUNG
TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC

Defendants.

DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO PARKERVISION'S MOTION [DI 303] FOR ADDITIONAL CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEFING In accordance with the Court's August 14, 2019 Order (D.I. 304), Defendants submit the following response to Plaintiff's Motion (D.I. 303) regarding the claim terms requiring construction by the court, and the claim terms requiring further briefing.

After a meet-and-confer, the parties have agreed upon (i) the terms still requiring construction by the Court, but for which no further briefing is required (Section A), and (ii) the terms still requiring construction by the Court, and which the parties agree additional briefing is appropriate (Section B).

The only remaining dispute is whether the Court should entertain further briefing on "matched filtering/correlating module." As set forth in Section C, Defendants oppose ParkerVision's belated request to submit a completely new construction and new briefing on this term.

A. Parties' Agreed List of Terms Still Requiring Construction, But Not Requiring Further Briefing

The parties agree that the following terms are terms that still require construction, but which have been fully briefed by the parties and require no further briefing at this time:

| Term | ParkerVision's Proposed Construction | Defendants' Proposed Construction | Briefing Dkt. No. |
|-------------|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| "desired | plain and ordinary meaning; | a plurality of harmonic | 148 |
| harmonics" | | frequencies that are desired | |
| | or alternatively, | to be transmitted and that | 171 |
| '940, '372 | | have sufficient amplitude | |
| Patents | "a plurality of harmonic | for accomplishing the | |
| | frequencies that are desired" | desired processing | |
| "desired | plain and ordinary meaning; | a frequency that is desired | 148 |
| signal" | | to be transmitted and that | |
| | or alternatively, | has sufficient amplitude for | 171 |
| '372 Patent | | accomplishing the desired | |
| | "a signal/frequency selected | processing | |
| | for reception and/or | | |
| | transmission" | | |

| Term | ParkerVision's Proposed Construction | Defendants' Proposed Construction | Briefing Dkt. No. |
|----------------|---|---|----------------------|
| "gating means" | Function: "gating" | Function: gating | 148 |
| gating means | Tunction. gating | runction, gating | 140 |
| '372 Patent | Structure: Figures 31A and 32A; Col. 2:24-45 and equivalents thereof | Structure: a device with an input and an output that can take two states, open and closed, and when closed electrically connects its input and output such that the input and output have an equal voltage as shown and described in '372 patent at Figs 28A, 29A, 30A, 31A, 32A, 33A, 53, 54A, 55-57A-C, 66-70 | 171 |
| "summing | Function: "summing an in- | Function: summing said in- | 148 |
| means" | phase phase-modulated | phase phase-modulated | |
| | harmonically rich signal and | harmonically rich signal and | 171 |
| '372 Patent | a quadrature-phase phase-modulated harmonically rich signal" ('372 claim 99) Function: "summing an inphase phase-and amplitude-modulated harmonically rich | said quadrature-phase phase-modulated harmonically rich signal ('372 claim 99); Function: summing said inphase phase-and-amplitude modulated harmonically | |
| | signal and a quadrature- phase phase-and-amplitude- modulated harmonically rich signal" ('372 claim 103) | rich signal and said quadrature-phase phase- and-amplitude-modulated harmonically rich signal ('372 claim 103) | |
| | Structure: Figs. 8E, 34; Section 3.3.8 and equivalents thereof | Structure: '372 Patent Figs. 70 (summer 7085) and 71 (summer 7126) | |
| "said input | Not Indefinite | Indefinite | 149 |
| signal" | | | 170 |
| '177 Patent | | | |

B. Parties' Agreed List of Terms Requiring Further Briefing

The following terms are terms that the parties agree require additional briefing:

| T. | ParkerVision's Proposed | Defendants' Proposed |
|---------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Term | Construction | Construction |
| "harmonic" / | "frequency or tone that, when | "a frequency or tone that, when |
| "harmonics" | compared to its fundamental or | compared to its fundamental or |
| | reference, is an integer multiple | reference frequency or tone, is an |
| '940, '372 | including n=1/the fundamental" | integer multiple of it where the |
| Patents | | integer is 2, 3, 4, etc." |
| "switch" / | "device with an input and output | "device with an input and output |
| "switch | that can take two states, open and | that can take two states, open and |
| module" | closed, as dictated by an | closed" |
| | independent control input" | |
| '940, '372 | | |
| Patents | | |
| "to gate" / | "to change/changing between the | "to change / changing between (i) |
| "gating" | open and closed states of a device | connecting a signal at an input to an |
| | that can take two states, open and | output such that the input and |
| '940, '372 | closed, as dictated by an | output have an equal voltage, and |
| Patents | independent control input" | (ii) disconnecting the signal from |
| | | the output" |
| "summer" | plain and ordinary meaning. | "a device that sums two or more |
| | | signals" |
| '940, '372 | or alternatively, | _ |
| Patents | - | |
| | "circuitry that sums two or more | |
| | signals" | |
| "bias signal" | | "(1) a signal having a steady, |
| | | predetermined level or (2) the |
| '940 Patent | | original baseband signal at the |
| | | source" |

C. Terms for Which There is a Dispute Regarding Whether Additional Briefing Should be Permitted

ParkerVision is trying to misuse this Court's current procedure in order to insert a new theory into this case through its newfound 94-word construction of "matched filtering/correlating module." This is improper. ParkerVision had a full opportunity to raise

this theory four years ago (in 2015), and should not be permitted to raise it now.

ParkerVision sole basis as to why it should be allowed to introduce its new claim construction theory is that the Federal Circuit's *Williamson v. Citrix Online* opinion represents an intervening change of law. 792 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. June 16, 2015) (*en banc*). (*See* PV Motion (D.I. 303) at 4-5.) ParkerVision fails to mention, however, that the parties already had a full and fair opportunity to brief *Williamson* and to address any impact it had on this case. Indeed, after the *Williamson* decision was issued, the parties submitted numerous briefs regarding the impact of that decision on this case. (*See, e.g.*, D.I. 196, 215, 216, and 221.) Not once did ParkerVision ever raise its new theory regarding the construction of "matched filtering/correlating module." Instead, ParkerVision specifically represented to this Court that "[t]he Federal Circuit's recent Williamson decision does not require the construction of any additional terms in this case." (D.I. 221 at 1.)

ParkerVision had a full opportunity to address *Williamson* and chose not to. The Court should not permit to introduce its new theory now.

Dated: August 21, 2019

COOLEY LLP

By: /s/ Eamonn Gardner

Stephen C. Neal (admitted pro hac vice)
nealsc@cooley.com
Matthew Brigham (admitted pro hac vice)
mbrigham@cooley.com
Jeffrey Karr (admitted pro hac vice)
jkarr@cooley.com
Dena Chen (admitted pro hac vice)
dchen@cooley.com
3175 Hanover Street
Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155

Phone: (650) 843-5000 Fax: (650) 849-7400

Fax: (202) 842-7899

Stephen Smith (admitted pro hac vice) ssmith@cooley.com 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20004 Phone: (202) 842-7800

Eamonn Gardner (admitted pro hac vice) egardner@cooley.com
380 Interlocken Crescent, Suite 900
Broomfield, CO 80021-8023
Telephone: (720) 566-4000
Facsimile: (720) 720-566-4099

Attorney for Defendants Qualcomm Incorporated, Qualcomm Atheros, Inc., HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and forgoing document has been served on all counsel of record via the Court's ECF system on August 21, 2019.

/s/ Eamonn Gardner

Eamonn Gardner (admitted pro hac vice) egardner@cooley.com 380 Interlocken Crescent, Suite 900 Broomfield, CO 80021-8023 Telephone: (720) 566-4000

Facsimile: (720) 720-566-4099

Attorney for Defendants
Qualcomm Incorporated, Qualcomm Atheros, Inc.,
HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc.

209984005 v1